"Exploring the relationship between trade openness and economic growth in Nepal: Insights from ARDL bound test cointegration analysis"

AUTHORS	Yadav Mani Upadhyaya 🗈 Khom Raj Kharel 🝺 Suman Kharel 🍺
ARTICLE INFO	Yadav Mani Upadhyaya, Khom Raj Kharel and Suman Kharel (2023). Exploring the relationship between trade openness and economic growth in Nepal: Insights from ARDL bound test cointegration analysis. <i>Problems and Perspectives in</i> <i>Management</i> , <i>21</i> (3), 792-805. doi:10.21511/ppm.21(3).2023.61
DOI	http://dx.doi.org/10.21511/ppm.21(3).2023.61
RELEASED ON	Monday, 02 October 2023
RECEIVED ON	Sunday, 11 June 2023
ACCEPTED ON	Wednesday, 20 September 2023
LICENSE	CC) BY This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License
JOURNAL	"Problems and Perspectives in Management"
ISSN PRINT	1727-7051
ISSN ONLINE	1810-5467
PUBLISHER	LLC "Consulting Publishing Company "Business Perspectives"
FOUNDER	LLC "Consulting Publishing Company "Business Perspectives"

45

NUMBER OF FIGURES

4



6

© The author(s) 2023. This publication is an open access article.





#### BUSINESS PERSPECTIVES

LLC "CPC "Business Perspectives" Hryhorii Skovoroda lane, 10, Sumy, 40022, Ukraine www.businessperspectives.org

Received on: 11<sup>th</sup> of June, 2023 Accepted on: 20<sup>th</sup> of September, 2023 Published on: 2<sup>nd</sup> of October, 2023

© Yadav Mani Upadhyaya, Khom Raj Kharel, Suman Kharel, 2023

Yadav Mani Upadhyaya, Ph.D., Assistant Professor, Department of Economics, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Tribhuvan University, Saraswati Multiple Campus, Nepal.

Khom Raj Kharel, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Department of Economics, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Tribhuvan University, Saraswati Multiple Campus, Nepal. (Corresponding author)

Suman Kharel, Ph.D., Associate Professor, Central Department of Rural Development, Faculty of Humanities and Social Sciences, Tribhuvan University, Nepal.



This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license, which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

**Conflict of interest statement:** Author(s) reported no conflict of interest Yadav Mani Upadhyaya (Nepal), Khom Raj Kharel (Nepal), Suman Kharel (Nepal)

# EXPLORING THE RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN TRADE OPENNESS AND ECONOMIC GROWTH IN NEPAL: INSIGHTS FROM ARDL BOUND TEST COINTEGRATION ANALYSIS

#### Abstract

The capacity of foreign trade to substantially raise GDP via increased exports, heightened competition, and enhanced efficiency holds the key to bolstering economic growth and prosperity. Recognizing this transformation underscores the importance of shaping Nepal's economic policies and development strategies for achieving lasting sustainable growth. The objective of this study is to investigate how trade openness has affected Nepal's economic growth, given its importance in the nation's overall development. By analyzing the correlation between trade openness and economic expansion, policymakers and researchers can uncover the role of international trade in driving Nepal's economic advancement. The research methodology involves constructing a regression model using the Autoregressive Distributed Lag bound test, with variables analyzed in growth form and subjected to the Augmented Dickey-Fuller and residual tests. The study's results indicate a strong positive relationship between trade openness and economic growth in Nepal from 1975 to 2020, with a long-term equilibrium observed. Short-term deviations from equilibrium are quickly corrected at 38.23% annually, highlighting the importance of balanced export and import growth for economic development. The study concludes that trade openness drives Nepal's economic growth for sustainable development and environmental protection. It offers valuable insights for policymakers and stakeholders to enhance economic growth and overall development of the country.

#### Keywords

import, export, competition, efficiency, specialization, technology, investment, innovation

JEL Classification F14, F40, O47

### INTRODUCTION

Trade openness is widely recognized as a catalyst for economic growth, fostering domestic production, boosting investments, and creating job opportunities. Trade openness can help countries specialize in producing goods and services that they are good at producing, and it can also help them access new markets and technologies.

Nepal, now actively engaged in trade agreements and a World Trade Organization (WTO) member, is committed to trade openness by systematically removing trade barriers and bureaucratic impediments. Nonetheless, Nepal's status as a landlocked nation presents challenges, particularly regarding limited infrastructure. This makes it difficult for the country to trade with other countries, hindering economic growth. As a result, achieving a delicate equilibrium between trade openness and nurturing domestic industries becomes a critical factor in fostering enduring and sustainable economic growth. Nepal needs to find ways to improve its infrastructure, such as building roads and railways, to trade more easily with other countries. However, it also needs to protect its domestic industries from foreign competition so that they can grow and create jobs.

The study delves into the intricate relationship between trade openness and economic growth within the Nepalese context. Through the application of the ARDL bound test cointegration analysis, the study seeks to shed light on the potential long-term relationship between these two pivotal factors. By examining the empirical evidence specific to Nepal, this study contributes to a deeper understanding of how trade openness influences economic growth in a unique geographical and economic setting.

## 1. LITERATURE REVIEW AND HYPOTHESIS

Some evidence suggests that when an economy is more open to trade, it becomes more competitive, which boosts productivity and leads to economic growth. In this context, Ades and Glaeser (1999) found that trade can help increase the number of patents granted in a country. Rivera-Batiz and Romer (1991) found that trade can help increase a country's technological diffusion rate. Barro and Sala-i-Martin (1990) found that trade can help increase the level of income per capita in a country. Trade openness became one of the main components of growth since it allowed technological transference from industrialized to developing nations (Grossman & Helpman, 1990a, 1990b; Afonso, 2001).

Studies in various countries have explored the impact of trade openness on economic growth. Paudel and Perera (2009) found a positive cointegration relationship between economic growth and foreign debt, trade openness, and the labor force in Sri Lanka. Kim et al. (2011) observed a certain inhibitory effect of trade openness on economic growth in 61 nations, while Alimi (2014) found substantial positive effects of exports and trade openness on economic growth. Intisar et al. (2020) studied the influence of human resources and trade openness on the economic development of multiple Asian countries, finding positive effects on economic growth. Saleem et al. (2020) examined the effects of trade openness and foreign direct investment on GDP in five South Asian countries.

On the contrary, some theoretical studies claim that trade openness may harm economic growth. Economic integration increases competition and decreases expected profits, thereby discouraging innovation. Zahonogo (2017) studied trade openness and economic growth in sub-Saharan Africa (SSA). His findings revealed that the relationship is not a straight line; instead, it forms an inverted U-curve, where excessive trade openness can have a negative impact on economic growth. Ramzan et al. (2019) found that when considering the level of total factor productivity (TFP) development, the relationship between trade openness and GDP growth is not straightforward: trade can negatively affect GDP growth in countries specialized in low-TFP development, but it positively boosts GDP growth once countries reach a minimum TFP development level.

The study highlighted the intricate relationship between trade openness and decoupling carbon emissions from economic growth, depending on various factors (Wang & Zhang, 2021). Policymakers should carefully consider these factors when designing strategies to promote decoupling carbon emissions from economic growth. Li et al. (2021) identified various effective measures to reduce per-capita carbon emissions. Policymakers should thoroughly consider these factors when formulating policies to decrease carbon emissions. Wang et al. (2022) emphasized that the connection between urbanization and the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) is intricate and influenced by multiple factors.

Policymakers should consider these factors when developing policies to enhance environmental protection in urban areas. Wang et al. (2023a, 2023b) partially supported the Environmental Kuznets Curve (EKC) theory, suggesting an inverted U-shaped link between economic growth and environmental degradation. However, the relationship was more complex than initially believed across 208 countries. Wang et al. (2023a, 2023b) revealed that trade protectionism could hinder countries from achieving carbon neutrality, as it may lead to higher pollution levels, production relocation to countries with weaker environmental regulations, and increased consumer prices.

Empirical research findings on the relationship between trade openness and economic growth have been contradictory and inconsistent across countries. Studies suggest that international trade benefits low-income countries more than high-income ones (Rassekh, 2007). Some research, like that of Chang et al. (2009) and Harrison (1996), found a significant positive relationship between trade openness and economic growth. Harrison (1996) conducted time-series cross-country research for developing nations, examining the relationship between trade openness and economic growth. Other studies have explored the impact of trade openness and investment on economic development, such as Musila and Yiheyis (2015) in Kenya and Altaee and Al-Jafari (2015) in Bahrain.

Lawal et al. (2016) used the Autoregressive Distributed Lag (ARDL) model to study the impact of trade openness on economic growth in Nigeria, while Obeid and Awad (2018) conducted a comprehensive analysis of the relationship between economic development, trade openness, and economic growth in Jordan. Kong et al. (2021) examined the relationship between Chinese trade openness and the quality of economic growth, finding that when short-term fluctuations deviate from long-term equilibrium, the quality of economic growth can stabilize through automatic adjustment, with trade openness having a significant regional heterogeneity and non-linear threshold impact on economic growth quality in China.

Cheung and Ljungqvist (2021) studied 31 OECD nations using the Panel Fixed Effect Model and found that trade openness significantly affected economic growth rates. Juliansyah et al. (2022) analyzed pooled OLS data from 1967 to 2020, concluding that exports boosted economic growth in selected economies while imports had a hampering effect. Sunde et al. (2023) investigated the impact of trade openness, imports, and exports on Namibia's economic development using the ARDL cointegration technique, observing that imports had a constraining effect. Kumari et al. (2023) found no bi-directional causality between India's trade openness and economic growth.

Research on the relationship between Nepal's economic development and trade has been modest (Dhungel, 2016; Rana, 2020). The cointegration method found a long-run relationship between imports, exports, and Nepal's economic development. Pokhrel (2022) concluded that all variables positively affect economic growth in Nepal using an ordinary least squares regression approach. The sole and proper aim of this study is to comprehensively investigate the intricate relationship between trade openness and economic growth in Nepal. Through the application of the ARDL bound test cointegration analysis, this paper endeavors to reveal enduring associations between these pivotal factors over the long term. By concentrating on empirical evidence specifically tailored to the unique conditions prevailing in Nepal, this study suggests the following hypothesis:

H1: In the context of Nepal, increased trade openness is positively associated with economic growth over the long term.

### 2. METHODOLOGY

The theoretical framework examines how the Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP) is influenced by trade openness, population, capital stock, and government expenditure. In this framework, RGDP is a function of these variables, where trade openness, population, capital stock, and government expenditure changes may affect RGDP positively or negatively.

The theoretical framework for Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP) incorporates several key factors. First, RGDP represents the total economic output of a country, encompassing all goods and services produced within its borders, adjusted for inflation. Secondly, trade openness, which reflects a country's participation in international trade, plays a crucial role. Higher trade openness can increase exports and imports, positively impacting RGDP by stimulating economic activity and providing access to a larger global market. Another determinant is the population, which represents the total number of people living in the country. A larger population can contribute to higher RGDP by expanding the labor force and increasing consumer demand. However, sufficient economic opportunities must accompany population growth for this relationship to be beneficial.

Furthermore, capital stock, comprising physical and financial assets used in production (e.g., machinery, infrastructure), influences RGDP. A higher capital stock enhances productivity, increasing production and the possibility of higher RGDP. Investments in capital stock contribute to overall economic growth.

Lastly, government expenditure is a significant factor affecting RGDP. The government's spending on goods, services, and public investments can directly contribute to economic growth, particularly through infrastructure and development projects. Additionally, government expenditure impacts aggregate demand and generates multiplier economic effects, influencing RGDP through various channels. Understanding and analyzing the relationships among trade openness, population, capital stock, and government expenditure in the context of RGDP helps comprehend and formulate policies for economic growth and development.

Trade openness can boost productivity and economic growth, leading to a larger population and capital stock. A larger population increases the demand for goods and services, fostering economic growth. Similarly, a larger capital stock enhances productivity and economic growth. At the same time, increased government expenditure can also contribute to growth, but the relative importance of each variable varies by country or region. However, the relationship is not always linear, and excessive government expenditure may hinder economic growth by crowding out private investment. The framework does not consider other factors like technological innovation and political stability that can also influence economic growth.

In this study, an ARDL (Autoregressive Distributed Lag) bound test is performed to assess the relationship between Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP) and its determinants: trade openness, population, capital stock, and government expenditure. The time series data used for analysis are obtained from the Economic Survey, covering the period from 1975 to 2020.

The specific methodology involves preprocessing the data to ensure stationarity, specifying the ARDL model, determining the appropriate lag order, estimating the model using the Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) method, conducting the bound test to identify long-run relationships, developing the Error Correction Mechanism (ECM) for short-run dynamics, and performing diagnostic checks for model validity. This approach helps to understand how trade openness, population, capital stock, and government expenditure influence Nepal's economic growth over time.

The empirical assessment models for the analysis are presented in equation (1) as follows:

$$RGDP_G = f \begin{pmatrix} TO_G, POP_G, \\ Cstock_G, GovExp_G \end{pmatrix}$$
(1)

where  $RGDP_G$  = economic growth;  $POP_G$  = population growth;  $Cstock_G$  = growth of capital stock and  $GovExp_G$  = growth of government expenditures.

In this study, all the variables have been transformed to their growth rates, which helps achieve stationarity and simplify the interpretation of the model. The problem of non-stationary variables is addressed using growth rates, as differences between consecutive observations tend to remove the trend and make the data stationary. This approach allows for a more straightforward interpretation of the relationships between the growth rates of Real Gross Domestic Product (RGDP) and its determinants, including trade openness, population, capital stock, and government expenditure (Leamer, 1998; Dollar & Kraay, 2004). Analyzing the growth rates helps capture the relative changes in these variables over time and facilitates a clearer understanding of their impact on Nepal's economic growth.

In cointegration testing, the augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test is used to determine the order of integration for each variable (*RGDP\_G*, *POP\_G*, *Cstock\_G*, and *GovExp\_G*). This test checks to see if the underlying variables are stationary. The ADF test establishes whether each variable is I (0) (stationary), I (1) (integrated of order 1), or both, which is a prerequisite for conducting the ARDL bound test. The null hypothesis assumes the presence of unit roots (non-stationarity) in the fundamental variables, while the alternative hypothesis states their absence. The prediction is that none of the variables will be I (2) (integrated into order 2), suggesting that second-order differencing is less likely.

Pesaran et al. (1999, 2001) used the ARDL-bound test to assess cointegration, which has several advantages over other cointegration methodologies by Johansen and Juselius (1990) and Engle and Granger (1987). Unlike Johansen's approach, ARDL can be applied regardless of whether variables have different orders of integration (I(0), I(1)). ARDL also shows better statistical validity with small sample sizes. Moreover, ARDL allows variables to have varying optimum lags, uses a single equation instead of multiple equations, and provides balanced estimates of the long-term model and proper t-statistics even with some endogenous regressors (Bhatta, 2013).

The ARDL model study is represented by equations (2), (3), and (4).

$$RGDP_G_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 TO_G_t +$$
(2) +   
+  $\beta_2 POP_G_t + \beta_3 Cstock_G_t +$ +   
+  $\beta 4GovExp_G_t + \varepsilon_t,$ +

$$\Delta RGDP\_G_{t} = \infty_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \infty_{1i} \Delta RGDP\_G_{t-i} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{2i} \Delta TO\_G_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{3i} \Delta POP\_G_{t-i} +$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{4i} \Delta Cstock\_G_{t-i} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{5i} \Delta GovEx\_G_{t-i} + \infty_{11} \Delta RGDP\_G_{t-1} +$$

$$+ \infty_{12} \Delta TO\_G_{t-1} + \infty_{13} \Delta POP\_G_{t-1} +$$

$$+ \infty_{14} \Delta Cstock\_G_{t-1} + \infty_{15} \Delta GovEx\_G_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t},$$
(3)

where  $\Delta$  is the difference, the constant term is,  $\infty_0$ and,  $\infty_{1i}, \infty_{2i}, \infty_{3i}, \infty_{4i}$  and  $\infty_{5i}$ , (i = 0, 1, 2, ..., n) are the short-term coefficients. And  $\infty_{11}, \infty_{12}, \infty_{13}, \infty_{14}$  and  $\infty_{15}$  are the long-term coefficients. (m = 1) is the optimal lag of the dependent variable, and (n = 2) is the optimal lag of the independent variables. The optimum lag is designated based on the Akaike information criteria.

H0: 
$$\alpha_{11} = \alpha_{12} = \alpha_{13} = \alpha_{14} = \alpha_{15} = 0.$$
  
H1:  $\alpha_{11} \neq \alpha_{12} \neq \alpha_{13} \neq \alpha_{14} \neq \alpha_{15} \neq 0.$ 

If the test result impacts the upper critical boundary value, the study denies H0, and cointegration fails to take place. H0 is supposed to be exact when the F-statistic is less than the lower critical value. The ARDL ECM (Error Correction Model) must be used to estimate when the model's parameters are cointegrated.

Godfrey (1978) says that the cointegration bound test is based on ARDL in two equally special cases (cointegration or no cointegration) when using the model (m, n) to figure out the relationship between the variables.

The ARDL (m, n) model is defined as follows if there is no cointegration:

$$\Delta RGDP\_G_{t} = \infty_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \infty_{1i} \Delta RGDP\_G_{t-i} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{2i} \Delta TO\_G_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{3i} \Delta POP\_G_{t-i} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{4i} \Delta Cstock\_G_{t-i} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{5i} \Delta GovEx\_G_{t-i} + \varepsilon_{t},$$
(4)

If cointegration exists, the ECM is specified as:

$$\Delta RGDP\_G_{t} = \infty_{0} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \infty_{1i} \Delta RGDP\_G_{t-i} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{2i} \Delta TO\_G_{t-i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{3i} \Delta POP\_G_{t-i} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{4i} \Delta Cstock\_G_{t-i} +$$

$$+ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \infty_{5i} \Delta GovEx\_G_{t-i} + \lambda ECT_{t-1} + \varepsilon_{1t},$$
(5)

where *ECT* is the error correction term and  $\lambda$  is the speed of correction parameter with a negative sign. The short-term dynamic components of the model's adjustment to long term equilibrium are  $\infty_{1i}, \infty_{2i}, \infty_{3i}, \infty_{4i}$ , and  $\infty_{5i}$ . In the residual diagnostic test, two tests are performed in a specific order: the heteroscedasticity test (Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey) and the serial correlation test (Breusch-Godfrey). For the diagnostic tests to be satisfactory, the probabilities of heteroscedasticity and autocorrelation must be greater than five percent. This indicates no significant issues with heteroscedasticity or autocorrelation in the model's residuals (Jarque & Bera, 1980).

### 3. RESULTS

Trade is crucial for economic development, and this study focuses on the relationship between economic growth and trade in Nepal, which has experienced irregular economic growth over the years. Figure 1 depicts Nepal's RGDP\_G development, which peaked at 10% in 1981 after hitting a low of -1.5% in 1980.

Figure 2 depicts the relationship between Nepal's imports and exports. For the past few years, the gap between Nepal's imports and exports has increased significantly. This gap was the highest in 2019 and seems to decrease in 2020. It has also shown that the gap between Nepal's imports and exports constantly increases, which has not been good for economic development.

The descriptive statistics of the model series, based on time series data from 1975 to 2020, explore the effects of trade openness, population growth, capital stock growth, and government spending



Figure 1. Development of Nepal's economic growth, 1975–2020

Source: MOF (2022) (calculated using Eviews-13).



Figure 2. Nepal's imports and exports, 1975–2020

Table :	1. Descri	ptive s	tatistics
---------	-----------	---------	-----------

				500100.0	calculated using Eviews 15.
Variable	RGDP_G	TO_G	POP_G	CAPST_G	GEX_G
Mean	4.3743	12.8948	1.9029	15.4733	16.0945
Median	4.4114	11.3769	2.0989	15.7522	14.9048
Maximum	10.0312	85.5344	2.6584	21.7528	39.3016
Minimum	-1.5040	-24.0988	1.2660	10.5968	-1.4512
Std. dev.	2.35101	21.7354	0.4929	2.8884	9.0625
Skewness	-0.01741	1.0315	0.0319	0.0045	0.4243
Kurtosis	3.2714	4.4596	1.4969	2.0294	3.0123
Jarque-Bera	0.1404**	11.9764	4.2437	1.7662	1.3507
Probability	0.9321	0.0025	0.1198	0.4134	0.5089
Sum	196.8451	580.2693	85.6306	696.3014	724.2543
Sum Sq. dev.	243.2004	20786.9689	10.6934	367.0943	3613.6866
Observations	45	45	45	45	45

*Note:*  $RGDP_G$  = economic growth;  $TO_G$  = growth of trade openness;  $POP_G$  = population growth;  $Cstock_G$  = growth of capital stock and  $GovExp_G$  = growth of government expenditures.

on Nepal's economic growth. Table 1 provides a summary of these statistics. According to Jarque-Bera's statistics, the data exhibit a normal distribution, constant variance, and zero covariance. This suggests that the model series meets the assumptions of normality and homoscedasticity, which are essential for reliable statistical analysis.

Although ADF tests are not required when using the ARDL technique, the study conducted them to ensure that no variable in the model was integrated of order I (2) (Pesaran et al., 2001). The augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) test, proposed by Dickey and Fuller (1979), was used to test for unit roots in the variables. The results of the unit root tests are presented in Table 2, which provides insights into the integration orders of the variables in the study.

Table 2. Unit root t	test
----------------------	------

Source: Calculated using Eviews					
Variable		ADF Decision			
Variable	Level	First difference	I (d)		
RGDP_G	0.0000**	-	I (0)		
TO_G	0.0000**	-	I (0)		
POP_G	0.509	0.0000**	I (1)		
Cstock_G	0.147	0.0000**	I (1)		
GovExp_G	0.0000**	_	I (0)		

*Note:* \*\* significant at a 5 percent level.  $RGDP_G$  = economic growth;  $TO_G$  = growth of trade openness;  $POP_G$  = population growth;  $Cstock_G$  = growth of capital stock and  $GovExp_G$  = growth of government expenditures.

The selection of the most suitable model for ARDL is crucial for obtaining accurate short- and longterm estimations. To achieve this, the model that minimizes the residual sum of squares (RSS) is chosen, considering both lagged values of dependent and independent variables. The optimal lag values (m and n) are determined by calculating the Akaike information criterion (AIC) for different combinations of lags. The ARDL (2, 0, 0, 0, 0) model, with m = 2 and n = 0, 0, 0, 0, emerged as the best model among the 2,500 tested models, as it demonstrated the lowest AIC value and satisfied all fitness standards. Figure 3 displays the top 20 models with various lag values, confirming the superiority of the selected model with the lowest RSS.

Source: Calculated using EViews 13

The first step in the ARDL analysis technique is cointegration testing to investigate whether a long-run relationship exists between the variables. The F-test is used to calculate upper and lower boundaries, and under the null hypothesis of no cointegration, the F-statistics should follow an unusual final distribution. The practical estimation of the OLS model is the initial phase of the ARDLbound method, helping to determine the presence of a long-run or short-run relationship. The study conducted a cointegration test using the ARDL (2, 0, 0, 0, 0) bound testing technique with  $RGDP_G$ as the dependent variable. The F-statistics result was 14.3873\*\*, higher than the upper bound critical value at 5% (3.905\*\*), leading to the rejection of the null hypothesis (H0). This implies that the parameters in the model are cointegrated, indicating a long relationship among them (Table 3).

Table 4 reveals that the long-run trade openness growth coefficient (*TO\_G*) is positively and signif-

Problems and Perspectives in Management, Volume 21, Issue 3, 2023

Source: Calculated using EViews 13.



Figure 3. AIC of top 20 models

#### Table 3. Results of bound testing, critical values, and diagnostic testing

Source: Calculated using EViews 13.

F-statistic: 14.3873**						
Comula Cico of the unvictules	10%		5%		1%	
Sample Size of the variables	I (0)	I (1)	I (0)	I (1)	I (0)	l (1)
40	2.427	3.395	2.893	4	3.967	5.455
45	2.402	3.345	2.85	3.905**	3.892	5.173
Asymptotic	2.2	3.09	2.56	3.49	3.29	4.37

Note: \* I (0) and I (1) are respectively stationary and non-stationary. \*\* Rejection of the null hypothesis.

icantly related to economic growth at the 5% level. This means that Nepal's trade openness positively impacts its economic growth over time, with a 1% increase in foreign trade leading to a 0.259% growth in real gross domestic product. Numerous studies support the idea that trade openness contributes to a nation's long-term economic growth. However, the negative and statistically insignificant coefficient for population growth (*POP\_G*) may indicate that Nepal's low-income status and consistent rapid population growth could hinder its economic progress. The data suggest that trade

#### Table 4. Results of long-run estimates

Source: Calculated using EViews 13.

ARDL (2, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0) model based on AIC					
Dependent Variable RGDP_G					
Variables	Coeff.	t-stat	Prob.		
TO_G	0.259532**	3.850139	0.0005**		
POP_G	-1.190770*	-1.822785	0.0766*		
CStock_G	0.128621*	1.075294	0.2894*		
GExp_G	0.147*	1.251388	0.2189*		
С	4.906201**	2.403095	0.0215**		

*Note:* In probability notation, '\*' signifies a value greater than 5%, whereas '\*\*' denotes a value less than 5% but in Coeff it indicates only comparison with Prob. value.  $RGDP_G$  = economic growth;  $TO_G$  = growth of trade openness;  $POP_G$  = population growth;  $Cstock_G$  = growth of capital stock and  $GovExp_G$  = growth of government expenditures.

Std. error           0.1394180           0.09908031           Mean depender	t-statistic -9.915307 1.435467 nt var.	Prob. 0.000000** 0.158739 0.029422
0.1394180 0.09908031 Mean depender	-9.915307 1.435467 nt var.	0.000000** 0.158739 0.029422
0.09908031 Mean depender	1.435467 nt var.	0.158739 0.029422
Mean depender	nt var.	0.029422
CD demondent	····· <b>·</b> ····· <b>·</b> ·····················	
S.D. dependent	t var.	3.663374
Akaike info crite	erion	3.997274
Schwarz criter	rion	4.079190
Hannan-Quinn cr	iterion	4.027482
Durbin-Watson	ı stat	1.963651
	Akaike info crit Schwarz crite Hannan-Quinn cr Durbin-Watsor	Akaike info criterion Schwarz criterion Hannan-Quinn criterion Durbin-Watson stat

	Table 5.	Results	of shor	t-run	estimates
--	----------	---------	---------	-------	-----------

*Note:* \* p-values are incompatible with t-stat. \*\* indicates significance at a 5% level.  $RGDP_G$  = economic growth;  $TO_G$  = growth of trade openness;  $POP_G$  = population growth;  $Cstock_G$  = growth of capital stock and  $GovExp_G$  = growth of government expenditures.

openness policies play a crucial role in enhancing Nepal's economic growth in the long run. Thus, the findings suggest Nepal should focus on increasing exports and imports to achieve sustained economic growth.

Table 5 reveals that the short- $r^{**}$  signs indicate significance at a 5% level, and dynamics of the ARDL (2, 0, 0, 0, 0) model's error-correcting representation are presented. Notably, the lagged error correction term (*ECT*) at (-1) is negative and significant at the 5% level. The coefficient of *ECT* (-0.382373) indicates the speed of adjustment toward long-run equilibrium. The study demonstrates that any short-term deviations from equilibrium are corrected at 38.23% annually, indicating a swift return to long-term balance. The rela-

tively low capacity of exports compared to imports may impact this rapid adjustment in production growth. For long-term outcomes, it can be concluded that Nepal's total trade openness contributes to economic growth over time. The strategic implication of these findings for Nepal is the need to focus on generating export growth in line with import growth to support economic development in the country.

Figure 4 shows that the cointegration series is an essential part of this analysis as it helps identify a stable long-term relationship among the variables under consideration.

Table 6 reveals that diagnostic tests are essential to validate the projected outcomes of the long-run



Source: Calculated using EViews 13.



Source: Calculated using EViews 13

	Heteroscedasticit	y Test Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey	
F-statistic	1.257750	Prob. F (6.36)	0.301061
Obs · R-squared	7.45179***	Prob. Chi-Square (6)	0.28107**
	Breusch-Godfre	y Serial Correlation LM Test	
F-statistic	1.518222	Prob. F (2.34)	0.233584
Obs · R-squared	3.5253***	Prob. Chi-Square (2)	0.1715**

#### Table 6. Diagnostic stability test

*Note:* \*\* corresponding likelihood lower value; \*\*\* higher value.

model. The Breusch-Pagan-Godfrey test with an observed R-squared value of 7.45179, is higher than the corresponding likelihood (0.28107), indicating that the model is not heteroscedastic. The residuals are also free of serial correlation, as shown by the LM test with an observed R-squared value of 3.5253, higher than the associated probability of 0.1715. This suggests that serial correlation does not negatively affect the long-term model. In summary, the data do not support both heteroscedasticity and serial correlation hypotheses.

The increased trade openness is anticipated to have a positive correlation with long-term economic growth; the study's findings are complemented by the coefficient of Error Correction Term (ECT) (-0.382373). This coefficient serves as a crucial measure, indicating the swiftness of adjustments toward the long-run equilibrium. The study underscores that any momentary deviations from equilibrium are rectified at a rapid annual rate of 38.23%, thereby signaling a prompt restoration to long-term balance. By employing the ARDL bound test cointegration analysis, the study not only substantiates significant and enduring correlations between trade openness and economic growth but also aligns the observed adjustment dynamics with the hypothesis. This insight contributes to a comprehensive understanding of how trade openness interacts with economic growth within Nepal's unique geographical and economic context.

The findings, encompassing the Error Correction Term (ECT) coefficient and observed adjustment patterns, corroborate the hypothesis that heightened trade openness has a favorable connection with sustained economic growth in Nepal over the long term. The paper reveals that adjustments following transient equilibrium deviations align with the expected trade openness-economic growth relationship. This concordance fortifies comprehension of how trade openness impacts Nepal's economic growth, particularly within its unique geographical and economic framework.

The higher levels of trade openness are connected to favorable long-term economic growth. As a nation engages more in international trade and opens its markets to the global economy, it tends to experience increased economic expansion over extended periods. This positive association suggests that trade openness can act as a catalyst, stimulating economic activities and contributing to sustained economic development in the long run.

The explanation for the positive association between increased trade openness and long-term economic growth lies in the mechanisms through which trade openness can spur economic development. When a country engages in greater international trade, it opens up new avenues for its businesses to access larger markets and diverse sources of inputs. This competition and exposure to global markets encourage domestic industries to become more efficient and innovative, increasing productivity. Additionally, trade openness attracts foreign investment, technological transfer, and knowledge sharing, further contributing to economic growth. Over the long term, sustained trade openness can lead to cumulative gains in enhanced productivity, increased exports, and improved economic performance, thereby fostering economic growth and development.

In the context of Nepal, the justification for the positive association between increased trade openness and long-term economic growth is rooted in the country's unique circumstances. As Nepal embraces significant international trade, it gains access to broader markets and diverse sources of inputs that can invigorate its industries. This exposure stimulates healthy competition and compels domestic businesses to adopt more efficient and innovative practices, leading to heightened productivity. Moreover, trade openness in Nepal can attract much-needed foreign investment, enabling the infusion of capital and technology that can drive economic advancement.

Additionally, the sharing of knowledge and expertise through international trade channels contributes to the country's capacity to adapt and innovate, further propelling economic growth. Over an extended period, sustained trade openness can contribute to cumulative improvements in productivity, expanded exports, and overall economic performance, ultimately fostering the long-term growth and development of Nepal's economy.

The study's outcomes validate the alternative hypothesis. The study indicates a positive association between trade openness and economic growth in Nepal. The study found that a 1% increase in foreign trade leads to a 0.259% increase in real GDP. This is a statistically significant positive relationship, meaning the null hypothesis (H0) can be rejected. The results also show that the relationship between trade openness and economic growth is strong and persistent. The study found that the relationship between the two variables converges to long-term equilibrium at an annual rate of 38.23%. This means that the positive impact of trade openness on economic growth will likely continue.

### 4. DISCUSSION

The conclusions drawn from the review of existing literature and the empirical research shed light on the complex relationship between trade openness and economic growth. The theoretical foundation underlying the positive effects of trade openness on economic growth is well-established in the literature. However, the empirical evidence presents a nuanced picture, suggesting that this relationship is not uniform across countries.

The review of existing theoretical studies aligns with the consensus that trade openness can contribute positively to economic growth. Nonetheless, the empirical landscape reveals considerable heterogeneity in the outcomes of various studies. While some investigations indicate a positive impact of trade openness on economic growth, others present contradictory or inconsistent findings. This variability underscores the need for comprehensive studies considering various factors influencing economic and environmental dynamics.

The study contributes to this discourse by providing insights specific to Nepal's context. The findings demonstrate that trade openness indeed has a positive influence on Nepal's economic growth over time. The observed 0.259% growth in real GDP (Gross Domestic Product) per 1% increase in foreign trade underscores the significance of international trade in bolstering the nation's economy.

The short-run dynamics observed in the present study reveal a rapid rate of return to long-term equilibrium, quantified at 38.23% annually. This highlights the economy's resilience and ability to adjust to changes in trade openness relatively quickly. However, the statistical insignificance of the coefficient for population growth raises critical concerns. Rapid population growth in a low-income country like Nepal poses challenges that could counteract the benefits of trade openness. Further exploration is needed to understand the interplay between population growth, economic growth, and trade dynamics in this unique context.

Research findings underscore the importance of acknowledging the multifaceted relationship between trade, economic growth, and environmental challenges. While trade openness can drive economic growth, its implications for environmental concerns and carbon emissions necessitate a more nuanced exploration. This concurs with the review's suggestion that comprehensive studies should consider not only trade openness but also per-capita carbon reduction measures, urbanization effects, and the potential impacts of trade protectionism.

The findings of this study are similar to the findings of Wang et al. (2023a, 2023b), Altaee and Al-Jafari (2015), Lawal et al. (2016), Sunde et al. (2023), Zahonogo (2017) and Wang and Zhang (2021). However, they contrast the findings of Ades and Glaeser (1999), Peraran et al. (2001), Zahonogo (2017), and Musila and Yiheyis (2015). These findings can be explained by the fact that trade openness positively affects the economic growth of Nepal.

### CONCLUSION

Trade openness is vital to Nepal's development; thus, this study examines its objectives for economic growth. The data strongly suggest that trade openness boosts Nepal's economy. A strong, statistically significant positive relationship between trade openness and real GDP suggests a long-term relationship. This shows that trade openness drives long-term economic prosperity in Nepal. However, the study shows that rapid population growth in a low-income nation like Nepal complicates its economic future. This paper emphasizes the importance of trade openness in Nepal's economic growth and the need to account for demographic dynamics when analyzing its economic prospects.

This study contributes empirical insights that align with the theoretical proposition that trade openness positively impacts economic growth. However, the intricate interplay between trade, growth, and environmental challenges necessitates ongoing research to fully comprehend these relationships and their implications for countries like Nepal. The findings emphasize the need for balanced policy approaches that harness the benefits of trade openness while addressing potential challenges arising from rapid population growth and environmental concerns.

### **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

Conceptualization: Khom Raj Kharel. Data curation: Yadav Mani Upadhyaya. Formal analysis: Yadav Mani Upadhyaya, Khom Raj Kharel. Funding acquisition: Khom Raj Kharel. Investigation: Khom Raj Kharel, Suman Kharel. Methodology: Yadav Mani Upadhyaya, Khom Raj Kharel. Project administration: Suman Kharel. Resources: Suman Kharel. Software: Yadav Mani Upadhyaya. Supervision: Khom Raj Kharel. Validation: Suman Kharel. Visualization: Suman Kharel. Writing – original draft: Yadav Mani Upadhyaya, Suman Kharel. Writing – review & editing: Yadav Mani Upadhyaya, Khom Raj Kharel.

### REFERENCES

- Ades, A. F., & Glaeser, E. L. (1999). Evidence on growth, increasing returns, and the extent of the market. *The Quarterly Journal* of *Economics*, 114(3), 1025-1045. Retrieved from https://www.jstor. org/stable/2586890
- 2. Afonso, O. (2001). *The impact of international trade on economic growth* (Working Paper No. 106). University of Porto. Retrieved from https://wps.fep.up.pt/wps/wp106.pdf
- 3. Alimi, R. S. (2014). ARDL bounds testing approach to cointegration:

A re-examination of augmented fisher hypothesis in an open economy. *Asian Journal of Economic Modelling*, 2(2), 103-114. https://doi.org/10.18488/journal.8.2014.22.103.114

- I. Altaee, H. H. A., & Al-Jafari, M. K. (2015). Financial development, trade openness and economic growth: A trilateral analysis of Bahrain. *International Journal of Economics and Finance*, 7(1), 241-254. http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ijef. v7n1p241
- 5. Barro, R. J., & Sala-i-Martin, X. (1990). *Economic growth and*

convergence across the United States (Working Paper No. 3419). National Bureau of Economic Research, Inc. http://doi. org/10.3386/w3419

 Bhatta, G. R. (2013). Remittance and trade deficit nexus in Nepal: A VECM approach#. NRB Economic Review, 25(1), 37-50. Retrieved from https://www.nrb. org.np/contents/uploads/2019/12/ NRB\_Economic\_Review-Vol\_25-1\_April\_20133\_Remittance\_and\_Trade\_Deficit\_Nexus\_ in\_Nepal\_A\_VECM\_Approach-Guna\_Raj\_Bhatta.pdf

- Chang, R., Kaltani, L., & Loayza, N. V. (2009). Openness can be good for growth: The role of policy complementarities. *Journal* of Development Economics, 90(1), 33-49. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jdeveco.2008.06.011
- Cheung, J., & Ljungqvist, Z. (2021). The impact of trade openness on economic growth: A panel data analysis across advanced OECD countries (Bachelor's Thesis). Södertörn University, School of Social Sciences. Retrieved from http://www.diva-portal.org/ smash/record.jsf?pid=diva2%3A1 565943&dswid=8685
- Dhungel, K. R. (2016). Causal relationship between remittance and economic growth in Nepal: An econometric approach. *Journal* of Global Economics, Management and Business Research, 7(1), 31-40. Retrieved from https://www. ikprress.org/index.php/JGEMBR/ article/view/2889
- Dickey, D. A., & Fuller, W. A. (1979). Distribution of the estimators for autoregressive time series with a unit root. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 74(366a), 427-431. https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459. 1979.10482531
- Dollar, D., & Kraay, A. (2004). Trade, growth and poverty. *The Economic Journal*, 114(493), F22-F49. Retrieved from https:// www.jstor.org/stable/3590109
- Engle, R. F., & Granger, C. W. J. (1987). Co-integration and error correction: Representation, estimation, and testing. *Econometrica*, 55(2), 251-276. https://doi.org/10.2307/1913236
- Godfrey, L. G. (1978). Testing against general autoregressive and moving average error models when the regressors include lagged dependent variables. *Econometrica: Journal* of the Econometric Society, 46(6), 1293-1301. https://doi. org/10.2307/1913829
- Grossman, G. M., & Helpman, E. (1990a). Trade, innovation, and growth. *The American Economic Review*, 80(2), 86-91. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/2006548

- Grossman, G., & Helpman, E. (1990b). Comparative advantage and long-run growth. *American Economic Review*, 80(4), 796-815. Retrieved from https://www.jstor. org/stable/2006708
- Harrison, A. (1996). Openness and growth: A time-series, crosscountry analysis for developing countries. *Journal of Development Economics*, 48(2), 419-447. https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-3878(95)00042-9
- Hye, Q. M. A., & Lau, W. Y. (2015). Trade openness and economic growth: Empirical evidence from India. *Journal of Business Economics & Management*, 16(1), 188-205. https://doi.org/10.3846/1 6111699.2012.720587
- Intisar, R. A., Yaseen, M. R., Kousar, R., Usman, M., & Makhdum, M. S. A. (2020). Impact of trade openness and human capital on economic growth: A comparative investigation of Asian countries. *Sustainability*, 12(7), 2930. https:// doi.org/10.3390/su12072930
- Jarque, C. M., & Bera, A. K. (1980). Efficient tests for normality, homoscedasticity and serial independence of regression residuals. *Economics Letters*, 6(3), 255-259. https://doi. org/10.1016/0165-1765(80)90024-5
- Johansen, S., & Juselius, K. (1990). Maximum likelihood estimation and inference on cointegration – With applications to the demand for money. Oxford Bulletin of Economics and Statistics, 52(2), 169-210. https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0084.1990. mp52002003.x
- Juliansyah, H., Ganesha, Y., Ichsan, I., Nailufar, F., & Terfiadi, S. Y. (2022). Effect of export import and investment on economic growth in Indonesia (VECM analysis method). *Journal of Malikussaleh Public Economics*, 5(1), 16-32. https://doi. org/10.29103/jmpe.v5i1.8153
- Kim, D. H., Lin, S. C., & Suen, Y. B. (2011). Nonlinearity between trade openness and economic development. *Review*

of Development Economics, 15(2), 279-292. https://doi.org/10.1111/ j.1467-9361.2011.00608.x

- Kong, Q., Peng, D., Ni, Y., Jiang, X., & Wang, Z. (2021). Trade openness and economic growth quality of China: Empirical analysis using ARDL model. *Finance Research Letters*, 38, 101488. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. frl.2020.101488
- 24. Kumari, R., Shabbir, M. S., Saleem, S., Yahya Khan, G., Abbasi, B. A., & Lopez, L. B. (2023). An empirical analysis among foreign direct investment, trade openness and economic growth: Evidence from the Indian economy. *South Asian Journal of Business Studies*, *12*(1), 127-149. https://doi. org/10.1108/SAJBS-06-2020-0199
- Lawal, A. I., Nwanji, T. I., Asaleye, A., Ahmed, V., & Camarero, M. (2016). Economic growth, financial development and trade openness in Nigeria: An application of the ARDL bound testing approach. *Cogent Economics & Finance*, 4(1), 1258810. https://doi.org/10.1080/2 3322039.2016.1258810
- Leamer, E. E. (1998). Measures of openness. In R. E. Baldwin (Ed.), *Trade Policy Issues and Empirical Analysis*. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press. Retrieved from https://www.nber.org/system/files/ chapters/c5850/c5850.pdf
- Li, R., Wang, Q., Liu, Y., & Jiang, R. (2021). Per-capita carbon emissions in 147 countries: The effect of economic, energy, social, and trade structural changes. *Sustainable Production* and Consumption, 27, 1149-1164. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. spc.2021.02.031
- Ministry of Finance (MOF). (2022). Economic Survey 2021/22. Kathmandu: Government of Nepal. Retrieved from https:// www.mof.gov.np/uploads/document/file/1674635120\_Economic\_ Survey\_2022.pdf
- 29. Musila, J. W., & Yiheyis, Z. (2015). The impact of trade openness on growth: The case of Kenya. *Journal*

*of Policy Modeling*, *37*(2), 342-354. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpolmod.2014.12.001

- Obeid, R., & Awad, B. (2018). The effect of trade openness on economic growth in Jordan: An analytical investigation (1992– 2015). *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*, 8(2), 219-226. Retrieved from https://www.econjournals.com/ index.php/ijefi/article/view/6030
- 31. Paudel, R. C., & Perera, N. (2009). Foreign debt, trade openness, labour force and economic growth: Evidence from Sri Lanka. *The ICFAL University Journal of Applied Economics*, 8(1), 57-64. Retrieved from https://ro.uow.edu. au/gsbpapers/17/
- Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., & Smith, J. R. (1999). Bond testing approach to the analysis of long run relationships. *Journal of the American Statistical Association*, 94(446), 621-634. https://doi.org/1 0.1080/01621459.1999.10474156
- Pesaran, M. H., Shin, Y., & Smith, R. J. (2001). Bounds testing approaches to the analysis of level relationships. *Journal of Applied Econometrics*, 16(3), 289-326. Retrieved from https://www.jstor. org/stable/2678547
- 34. Pokhrel, Y. (2022). Effect of international trade on economic growth of Nepal. International Journal of Economics and Management Studies, 9(9), 1-7. https://doi. org/10.14445/23939125/IJEMS-V9I9P101
- Ramzan, M., Sheng, B., Shahbaz, M., Song, J., & Jiao, Z. (2019). Impact of trade openness on GDP growth: Does TFP matter? *The Journal of International Trade & Economic Development, 28*(8), 960-995. https://doi.org/10.1080/0 9638199.2019.1616805
- Rana, S. B. (2020). Trade openness and economic growth in Nepal. *Butwal Campus Journal*, 3(1), 1-20. https://doi.org/10.3126/bcj. v3i1.36493
- 37. Rassekh, F. (2007). Is international trade more beneficial to lower income economies? An empirical

inquiry. *Review of Development Economics*, 11(1), 159-169. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9361.2006.00357.x

- Rivera-Batiz, L. A., & Romer, P. M. (1991). Economic integration and endogenous growth. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 106(2), 531-555. https://doi. org/10.2307/2937946
- Saleem, H., Shabbir, M. S., & Bilal khan, M. (2020). The shortrun and long-run dynamics among FDI, trade openness and economic growth: Using a bootstrap ARDL test for cointegration in selected South Asian countries. South Asian Journal of Business Studies, 9(2), 279-295. https://doi.org/10.1108/ SAJBS-07-2019-0124
- 40. Sunde, T., Tafirenyika, B., & Adeyanju, A. (2023). Testing the impact of exports, imports, and trade openness on economic growth in Namibia: Assessment using the ARDL cointegration method. *Economies*, 11(3), 86. https://doi.org/10.3390/economies11030086
- Wang, Q., & Zhang, F. (2021). The effects of trade openness on decoupling carbon emissions from economic growth – Evidence from 182 countries. *Journal* of Cleaner Production, 279, 123838. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jclepro.2020.123838
- Wang, Q., Wang, L., & Li, R. (2023a). Trade protectionism jeopardizes carbon neutrality – Decoupling and breakpoints roles of trade openness. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, 35, 201-215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. spc.2022.08.034
- Wang, Q., Wang, X., & Li, R. (2022). Does urbanization redefine the environmental Kuznets curve? An empirical analysis of 134 Countries. Sustainable Cities and Society, 76, 103382. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. scs.2021.103382
- Wang, Q., Zhang, F., & Li, R. (2023b). Revisiting the environmental kuznets curve hypothesis in 208 counties: The roles of trade openness, human

capital, renewable energy and natural resource rent. *Environmental Research*, 216, 114637. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. envres.2022.114637

45. Zahonogo, P. (2017). Trade and economic growth in developing countries: Evidence from sub-Saharan Africa. *Journal of African Trade*, 3(1), 41-56. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.joat.2017.02.001